

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,979	04/05/2001	Jeffrey D. Flood	2695.01US02	4125
24113	7590 08/29/2002			
PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A.			EXAMINER	
4800 IDS CE	NTER TH STREET	SORKIN, DAVID L		
	LIS, MN 55402-2100		<del></del>	
MININE O	213, 1111 22 102 2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	7
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>_</u>
09/826,979	FLOOD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David L. Sorkin	1723	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** 

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE  $\underline{1}$  MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 LLC Quick of this communication.)

- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 August 2001</u>
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) 🔲 The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)
1) Notice of Defenses 201 Laboratory
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/826,979

Art Unit: 1723

### Election/Restrictions

**DETAILED ACTION** 

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-27, drawn to concrete plant and method of using, classified in class 366, subclass 8.
  - II. Claims 28-37, drawn to slurry mixer with interengaging rotatable stirrers, classified in class 366, subclass 301.
  - III. Claims 38-41, drawn to a flow control device, classified in class 285, subclass 301.
  - IV. Claims 42-45, drawn to preparing concrete including heating, classified in class 366, subclass 7.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Group I only broadly requires a slurry mixer, not the specific slurry mixer of Group II. The subcombination has separate utility such as mixing chocolate or mixing slurry for chemical-mechanical polishing of semiconductor wafers, for example.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/826,979

Art Unit: 1723

3. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as controlling grain flow, coal flow, or any other particulate material flow. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Page 3

- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because heating is not required by Group I. The subcombination has separate utility such as making concrete in a fixed plant rather than in a plant on a wheel vehicle. Also, the subcombination could be used in a plant without a "slurry mixer" and/or without the specific conveyor arrangement of Group I, but instead whereby cement, sand, rock and water are all mixed simultaneously in a single vessel.
- 5. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the slurry mixer could be used to mix without the flow control device and the flow control device could be used without the slurry mixer. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/826,979 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

6. Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Group IV is a method that does not involve a slurry mixer (Group II).

- 7. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Group IV is a method that does not involve a flow control device (Group III).
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group is different, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. A telephone call was made to Michael Bondi on 22 August 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 703-308-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

**David Sorkin** 

August 27, 2002

CHARLES E. COOLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,979	04/05/2001	Jeffrey D. Flood	2695.01US02	4125
24113 75	90 11/13/2002			
PATTERSON 4800 IDS CEN	8TH STREET	CHRISTENSEN, P.A.	EXAMINER	
80 SOUTH 8TI			SORKIN, E	SORKIN, DAVID L
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2002	
			Not accum	470 h,
	Maile	d to wrong address	C 02 11/4/20	721
se find below a	nd/or attached an Offi	d to wrong address	$\frac{1}{1}$ ing this application or the	Not mailed